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ABSTRACT

ICT projects in Sub Sahara Africa have a high failure rate due to inadequate (participatory) approaches and contextual differences. This study evaluates the outcomes of a participatory and multi-stakeholder approach to develop ICT applications in education in Tanzania, called the Roundtable (RT) process. This process is an interpretive approach grounded in Constructive Technology Assessment (CTA). The outcomes are measured in terms of sustainability, scalability of projects and impact on users. Sense making and learning-by-doing are key words in order to ‘grow’ ownership. At sector level it turns out difficult to create a ‘paying’ market and to stimulate relevant policy making. The study compares the outcomes against a ‘norm’ on success and failure of ICT projects. Some difficulties with CTA are discussed. Based on this study we conclude that the CTA approach is a promising avenue, but further research is needed.
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INTRODUCTION

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has the potential to contribute to sustainable development and poverty alleviation (Mansell & When 1998; UN Millennium project 2005). To enhance sustainability, there is a need for spreading the ICT applications through the relevant system (Braa et al., 2004). Yet the successful application of this technology is still very limited in many developing countries (Heeks 2002; Walsham & Sahay 2006).

Participatory approaches are widely acclaimed as required and useful, both in the field of information systems and development (Walsham & Sahay, 2006). Participatory approaches aim to increase rationality and legitimacy of decision making, and to improve the quality and appropriateness of outcomes. However, the applicability of the participatory methods developed for ICT in the western setting, is limited in developing countries for various reasons. Firstly a lack of commitment by the ‘top’ beyond lip service is observed (Furuhol & Ørvik 2006). Second, the participatory method might contain normative elements related to a specific political context like for example the Swedish model (Kraft & Bansler, 1994). Thirdly, ICT is an imported technology especially in Sub Sahara Africa (Van Ryckeghem 1995) and deeply steeped in techno-rational paradigms typical for the western setting. Fourthly, a number of contextual differences, related to ICT use, influence the possibilities for participation (Puri & Sahay, 2007). These include the frequent involvement of public sector bureaucracies, inadequate human resource capacities, infrastructural limitations (including finance and technologies) and different characteristics of end–
users, especially if it concerns marginalized rural communities. A more fundamental objection to most participatory approaches is that the focus is rather on extracting knowledge for implementation and creating acceptance, then providing real decision-making power to the users on the 'what.'

The rather poor effectiveness of many of many participatory ICT initiatives is, however, cause for concern. Disappointment with poor results might very well produce adverse effects in terms of lack of trust and engagement. There is a clear need to gain more insight into the inherent and contextual conditions that determine effectiveness of participatory approaches leading to more methodological rigour and the realization of more conducive conditions. One way to achieve this is to embark on, what Rowe and Frewer (2004) call, the research agenda for evaluation, evaluating participatory exercises more consistently and thoroughly. This will ultimately enable the development of a more robust body of knowledge and a mature practice of participation.

In this paper, we attempt to take up this challenge by evaluating one such exercise – we evaluate the outcomes of a participatory approach to the development of ICT applications – the ICT Roundtable (RT) process – in education in Tanzania. The article first presents the theoretical background of the research and a brief description of the case studied. Next, an evaluative framework is developed. Thereafter the research method is set out and the different data sources used. This is followed by the presentation of the findings on the process conditions and the outcomes of the ICT applications at organizational level. This is compared against a norm for success or failure of ICT projects. After that the impact is analysed. The evaluation study concludes with the conditions and opportunities for integration of the technology at sector level. These findings are discussed and compared to other RT processes implemented during the past five years. Finally we draw conclusions and indicate the limitations of the study and suggest future research areas.

CONSTRUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT (CTA) AND THE RT PROCESS

The RT process takes a constructivist approach to the generation and use of ICT artefacts. It is grounded in so called Constructive Technology Assessment (CTA). CTA has been developed within the field of science, technology and society studies, with the aim to "broaden the decision-making process on the design of new technologies and the redesign of old technologies in order to meet societal goals" (Schot and Rip, 1996, p. 252). This implies widening up the aspects and criteria considered in the decision-making process, and including more actors in the technology development process. It aligns with the concept of 'mutual shaping' advanced by Boczkwowski (2004) that combines the perspective of the social construction of technology with innovation diffusion theories. CTA activities start in an early stage of design and development when technological change is still possible. Since its inception CTA is also used for ICT applications e.g. computer aided design (Downey, 1995) and online shopping (Heiskanen, 2005). CTA is applicable at organisational and meso levels (Broerse, 1998).

The general characteristics of CTA are rather broad and include the creation of an infrastructure or platform, to enable stakeholders to meet and exchange problems, ideas, interests and wishes. All relevant stakeholders have to be involved to ensure that the different view points of the system concerned are tabled. CTA is inherently participatory, which is considered an end in itself. The CTA agents create the infrastructure and provide organization and facilitation. The CTA process goes step-wise and moves from joint problem definition to idea generation, followed by agenda setting, and thereafter – in parallel – both implementation and policy making.

Technology development requires new knowledge to reduce the uncertainties and to develop and operate the technology. CTA provides the platform to enhance learning processes between the
actors involved in the conception, design, diffusion and adaptation of the technology. Experimentation or prototyping is an essential part of this. Starting points are problem analysis and a creative process of idea generation. The main types of learning that occur in participatory processes are: substantive, procedural and reflexive learning (Guston, 1999; Irvin & Stansbury, 2004). The latter concerns a reflection on one’s own and other’s knowledge, perspectives, roles, etc., which leads to double-loop learning (Argyris & Schön 1978; Senge 1990) in which existing paradigms are redefined.

Developing and integrating a new technology is a process in which various actors (try to) exert influence on the shaping of the technology. Alignment indicates the mutual and well-functioning adjustments between different actors involved as to produce collaborative decision making on the technology. An important aspect of CTA is agenda setting in order to cater for societal needs, followed by interactive policy development. Finally communicative actions are undertaken (Decker & Ladikas; 2004), with the function to inform better, a wider, but concerned audience on the CTA process and to stay in ‘touch’ with actual developments in society, science and technology.

The RT process is developed within the International Institute for Communication and Development (IICD) to enhance development with ICT at organizational and sector level. At organizational level the objectives of the RT process are (1) to develop ICT applications molded to local needs and hence (2) to have impact on end-users, including (3) to contribute to poverty alleviation. A main task is to empower individuals and teams, as to develop prototypes of ICT applications and to integrate them into the organization. The prototypes are innovations in the local context without tangible ‘examples’ of these innovations in the sector concerned. At sector level, the objective is (4) to develop a societal agenda related to the technology that is responsive to local needs including poverty alleviation and to create a conducive environment accordingly. Another contribution of the RT process could be (5) to speed up the ICT-based innovation processes. The RT process lasts four to seven years. The ideal-type currently consists of about 35 steps (see Annex 1) that are clustered in three development cycles. The first development cycle is a participatory multi stakeholder workshop to generate annotated ideas for prototypes of ICT applications relevant to local needs (Moens & Broerse, 2006; Moens et al. 2008b). The second development cycle is about the formulation and implementation of the prototypes. The third development cycle is about the integration of the prototypes at organizational and sectoral level.

THE CASE STUDIED: INTRODUCING ICT IN EDUCATION IN TANZANIA

In 2000, Internet access was very expensive and mainly limited to urban areas. In 2006, thanks to deregulation, most districts had some connectivity, but this was still relatively expensive. Mobile phones were popular and their number grew from 50,000 in 1999 to nearly 3 million by the end of 2005. A survey in 2004 in six rural districts in East and West Tanzania revealed a low awareness of Internet and email (UNDP & SIDA, 2005).

The use of ICT in education was very limited in 2002. To apply ICT in schools, four basic elements were needed: (1) physical infrastructure, (2) educational software, (3) competences of users, and (4) an adequate educational philosophy (ICTopSchool, 2006; Tuijnman & Brummelhuis, 1993). In 2002, the ICT infrastructure in schools was very poor, with only a few schools equipped with computers. Most computers were donated and not even refurbished. Sometimes computers were left in storage, simply because there were no knowledgeable users available. ICT was not used in teacher training. Most decision makers were neither aware of capabilities of educational software, nor had any philosophy on the use of ICT in education (Tilya et al., 2006). In 2002, in the Ministry of Education (hereafter called the Ministry) and the
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Tanzanian Institute for Education, computers were provided by international donors, but their use did not go beyond ‘type writing and e-mail’ for several years thereafter.

The first development cycle of the RT process started in November 2001 with ICT training for a number of principals of secondary schools in Dar es Salaam. At that time, awareness on ICT was very low. A memorandum of understanding was established between the Ministry and IICD to formalise the Ministry’s approval and support of the RT process. A schematic overview of the RT process is presented in figure 1.

Figure 1: Schematic overview of the RT process

In February 2002, the Roundtable workshop (3-4 days) was held. It regrouped representatives of most relevant stakeholders in the education sector, i.e. the Ministry, three specialised institutes, two NGOs, representatives of schools and resource persons. It should be noted that there were only a few public actors and specialised NGOs in this field. Consequently, three new NGOs were created. The RT workshop resulted in 11 annotated prototype ideas. Examples were: (1) a website by and for secondary school students; (2) training of teachers on ICT in teaching and learning; (3) a mobile ICT resource unit; and (4) an interactive website for inspectorate.

The RT workshop, both process and outcomes, were subject of in-depth evaluation (Moens et al., 2008b). The key criteria for CTA advanced by Schot (2001) – anticipation, reflexivity and social learning – were largely confirmed. Outputs included:

1. Learning, with reflexive learning as most noteworthy;
2. Initialising actions through generation of ideas for prototypes that were owned by individuals or teams of participants involved. Participants indicated that the prototype ideas had a high impact from a societal perspective;
3. Collaborative decision making was realised and the societal agenda was set by identifying priority areas for leverage;
4. Networking was perceived as useful and therefore the RT workshop could become a platform for subsequent CTA processes.

In the second development cycle the attention shifted to the individual organizations. This cycle lasted about three to four years. The emphasis was on the formulation and implementation of the identified prototypes. The prototype teams were engaged in a process of ‘learning-by-doing’ through workshops, coaching and expert advice. Potential users became involved in prototype development. The teams also paid attention to awareness raising and training of users. The prototype teams started to constitute a network for the regular exchange of knowledge. On purpose, the size of the prototypes was limited with a financial ceiling of about US $ 120,000. IICD promised to assist in searching for financial support. Most plans were presented to IICD for (co-) funding, but not necessarily.

During the third development cycle, a prototype should become fully integrated into the organization in an improved and enlarged form. In parallel, attention was paid to sharing lessons learnt, advocacy and efforts for interactive ICT policy making at the sector level. Networking and lobbying were key activities to stimulate policy development. However, the scope of the 3rd development cycle had not fully been worked out at the time of this study.

EVALUATIVE FRAMEWORK

As the RT process spans four to seven years, a reductionistic approach of assessing the intermediate output of each intervention only, risks being short of reality. Even the evaluation itself has effects (Bütschi et al., 2004). The starting point for the evaluation is a successfully completed RT workshop. The outcomes of the RT process can only be evaluated in the light of the process leading to it and the different contextual settings (Rowe & Frewer, 2004). The main process conditions for a CTA process have been set out in section 2. Within this CTA infrastructure, the core processes of (social) learning, societal agenda development and communicative actions should take place. Their outcomes are integrated in the objectives of the RT process.

The evaluation of the outcomes of the RT process is done in two complementary ways: to compare the outcomes firstly against the objectives of the RT process and secondly against a ‘norm’ from literature. The main categories for evaluation are summarised in Table 1 and elaborated below.
**Table 1: Outcome indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prototypes at organizational level</th>
<th>Number of prototypes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implemented out of the number of annotated ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Revised and/or up-scaled out of the total number of implemented prototypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated in daily activities and used by various staff members (prototype not isolated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perceived as contributing to effectiveness through performance improvement, increased market share and/or development of new markets (areas of service delivery)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated in the strategy of the organization and resources allocated to it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• For which the organization is competent to operate the prototype and to manage further ICT developments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Impact on users:                     | • Awareness |
|                                      | • Empowerment |
|                                      | • Economics |

| Impact on poverty                     | • Prototypes integrated that were developed by or for poor groups |
|                                      | • Percentage of users that are classified as ‘poor’ |
|                                      | • Conditions for poverty reduction structurally improved |

| Integration of innovation at sector level | • Networking between prototype owners for knowledge exchange |
|                                         | • Network of prototype owners as driving force to innovate with ICT and to integrate the technology in the sector |
|                                         | • Business opportunities for ICT in the sector opened up |
|                                         | • The development of an ICT sector policy ongoing and perceived as useful and legitimate by main stakeholders |
|                                         | • Public funds allocated to ICT |

**Organizational level: Number of prototypes formulated and integrated**

**Number of prototypes**
Formulation and implementation are the first steps after the RT workshop. Lessons learnt should be drawn and the prototype can be perfected or revised and be applied on a larger scale. For a prototype to be integrated it is necessary that it is institutionalised, e.g. is part of daily life. However, Kimaro and Nhampossa (2005) remark that only institutionalisation is not sufficient: new practises should also be perceived as generating value to the organization. This is reflected by the prototype being included in the strategy of the organization with resources allocated to it. This largely covers the different stages of ICT implementation (Saga & Zmud, 1994). The competency of the organization to manage the further development of ICT applications is another criterion for full integration.

**Comparison against an international norm**
Comparison against a norm places the effectiveness of the RT process in perspective to other practices. It is hard to find a ‘norm. Reports on failures are widespread, but rarely quantified. Heeks (2002) made an effort to develop a norm related to the successful integration of prototypes. He postulates that only a minority of information system projects\textsuperscript{vi} – a concept that includes the ICT prototypes – in developing countries is successful. He categorised ‘failure’ as never implemented or immediately abandoned after implementation. He defined ‘partial failure’ as
“an initiative in which major goals are unattained or in which there are significant undesirable outcomes…… or a sustainability failure of an initiative that succeeds initially but then fails after a year or so” (Heeks 2002, p 103).

The major goals and the undesirable outcomes are defined by combining the perspectives of the major stakeholders involved. In industrialised countries Heeks estimated for the period up to 2001 that roughly 20-25% is a total failure, 35-60% is a partial failure and the remaining (15%- 45%) can be labelled as a success. Based on different sources, Mann (2002) stated similar success and failure rates for IT projects in education. Other sources point in the same direction (Wade 2004; Dada 2006). We share Heeks' observation that in view of the weak environment, failure might be expected to be higher in less developed countries. The success rate for the prototypes developed through the RT process needs to be comparable to Heeks’ norm.

Impact on users

Innovation generates value and also changes the positions of the actors involved (Janszen 2000). Increased empowerment and economic opportunities are therefore main impact categories. The impact, as experienced by the end-user, is first measured through the awareness on the possibilities of ICT. Secondly empowerment is measured through statements based on increased skills levels, more self esteem, status and an increased ability to influence decision making. The economic impact is reflected through increased productivity, more income or better job opportunities.

Impact on poverty reduction

In a development setting, the main theoretical perspective on poverty is the lack of access to social services and control over resources including knowledge and market opportunities (Narayan, 2000). A first indication is the number of prototypes integrated that are developed by the representatives of the poor. A second indicator is the number of users that can be categorised as ‘poor’ vii. A third criterion is whether the prototypes contribute to the improvement of the structural conditions under which the poor live e.g. access to primary education. Indirect effects on poverty could be important, but have not been assessed in this study.

Stimulating the ICT integration at sector level

The sustainability and scalability of ICT applications can be analysed around three factors: (1) the actual demand of the technology, (2) usability of the ICT in the everyday activity of users, and (3) the availability of support services (Mursu et al., 2005). While the value added and usability of the technology is covered by the criteria mentioned above, more is required, especially for a public service sector like education. The development of an ICT policy is needed to assure the development of an adequate educational philosophy, to allocate financial and human resources and to stimulate and regulate the development of supportive services. Therefore agenda setting and policy development are essential. In CTA terms, the integration of the technology at meso or sector levels requires networking to develop a knowledge base.

RESEARCH METHOD

The study includes substantial quantitative data on the number of users, the perceived satisfaction on the objectives achieved by the users and the perceived level of impact. Nevertheless, a main part of the research method is qualitative research, in order to understand the meaning and the context of the outcomes, as well as to identify unanticipated influences (Maxwell, 1998). Qualitative research can bring in and confront the perspectives of the different actors involved. To select an appropriate project for the evaluation of the RT process the following
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Criteria were used: the project to be studied should (1) be the outcome of a successful RT workshop, (2) be ‘old enough’ to allow a mature view on the second and third development cycle, and (3) have been object of data collection, including participatory observation, right from the beginning. The project that fitted these criteria most was the RT process on ICT for learning and teaching for secondary and teacher education in Tanzania.

Different data sources were used: semi-structured interviews, questionnaires, focus groups, participatory observation, desk study and peer review. We elaborate on each data source. An important source was the perception of the participants and some knowledgeable third parties obtained through 12 semi-structured interviews by an independent freelance researcher in 2006. Questions related to the development of the prototypes within the organization, their added value, capacity development and their link to the wider context. The findings were discussed with the prototype owners.

To assess the impact on end users and to have feedback on the IICD assistance, the data from the IICD monitoring and evaluation system (M&E) was used. This M&E system was developed by IICD in 2001 and allows for results to be compared between countries (Wieman et al., 2001). Constructs to measure impact were awareness on ICT, empowerment achieved, economic opportunities realized, personal skills and productivity. A closed questionnaire, which measured on a Likert scale from 1 to 7 (see annex 2), was administrated by a M&E consultant and filled in by 191 respondents. During annual focus group meetings, conducted by the M&E section of IICD, the prototype teams reflected on the findings of the M&E system. This included the assessment of the quality of the assistance and capacity building by IICD to the prototype teams. It also included the results of the feedback of the end users on the realisation of their objectives by using the prototypes and on their perceived impact.

Another data source was participatory observation by the first author, who was a facilitator and coach in the evaluated project. Possible researcher biases were minimised by reviewing data, findings and conclusions with freelance researchers, team members and some independent national observers. Advantages of participatory participation were an in-depth knowledge of the situation and its dynamics, a better understanding of the irrational and emotional aspects, and the interaction with the context. Important source for participatory observation were the intensive bilateral contacts and regular (every two or three months) joint meetings with all teams working on prototypes. During these meetings the prototype teams exchanged experiences, latest news and discussed specific themes. These conversations were generally marked by a great frankness. Also explicitly solicited was negative feedback Of course also ‘socially desired’ answers were given, but these were minimized through the group process and the long-term relationship that developed.

Data collection is complemented by analysis of reports, peer reviews with staff and consultants directly involved in the RT process, in line with the principles for interpretive field studies (Klein & Meyers, 1999). This mix of methods and data sources contributed to triangulation (Maxwell, 1998). Data that converged is reported in this study without reference, if data did not converge, the divergence is elaborated.

**PROCESS CONDITIONS**

The RT process for education in Tanzania largely followed the sequence of interventions as set out in annex 1. Prototype owners and their teams participated in nearly all interventions. The process was rather intensive the first six months with a workshop on project formulation and training on ICT skills. The process conditions as mentioned in section 4 include the involvement of relevant stakeholders, participation, dialogue, exerting drive by a few actors to maintain the
CTA infrastructure and to organize and facilitate the processes of learning, societal agenda development and communicative actions.

A network for exchange of knowledge was created by the RT process. It served as a participatory platform and facilitated active exchange between prototype owners and main actors in the sector. The network gradually ‘lost’ representatives of the Ministry as political constraints emerged. This was triggered by a corruption scandal around a gift of ten thousand refurbished computers by a Northern donor, public upheaval as a number of telecenters stimulated visiting pornographic sites by students and uncertainty within the Ministry about the financial and managerial implications. As a consequence the memorandum of understanding was terminated by the Ministry. This slowly reduced the network and minimised communication between the prototype owners and policy makers. The network carried out awareness-raising sessions to the large public, and lobbying sessions towards the politicians and the Ministry. At sector level, networking and advocacy were insufficient to put ICT in education on the political agenda. Therefore a participatory multi-stakeholder intervention was designed and implemented.

It should be noted that users were involved in the development of the idea of the prototype during the RT workshop, but that only 50% of the prototypes involved the users in the formulation stage. Later on user feedback was obtained – more passively – through questionnaires.

The CTA agent (IICD) played multiple roles embodied by different persons. The programme manager focused on coaching, facilitation and networking. He separated the roles during interaction and had a relationship of trust with the prototype owners. Role conflicts were not put forward in interviews, nor experienced as problematic by the interviewees. Coaching is a delicate process, especially in an environment with an overwhelming donor culture. The IICD training manager provided technical expertise. Both were experienced and attached value to local ownership as the ‘sine qua non’ to success. Consultants, however, tended to infringe on dialogue and ownership. For example a consultant assisting in the formulation of mobile teacher training resource centres gradually distorted the project towards training in management information systems; an area he was acquainted with. The basic argument was sustainability, yet the ‘owners’ intended rather to support teaching and learning processes. The influence of expert power made them give in. Conflicts about financing were minimized as simple and clear rules were applied and a final decision depended on the outcome of a peer review within IICD.

The prototype owners found the prototype formulation process useful and stated that much learning occurred. It strengthened their capacity to conceptualise and to implement. The interviewees indicated that the prototype formulation process strengthened their sense of ownership, including motivation, and boosted their self-confidence. Statements by owners during focus group sessions were:

‘It is like nursing a baby until it is born’

‘Now I see...ha, ha, you made us suffer so that it is really ours’

‘It is very difficult for new comers to take over the project or to fully understand it’.

However, they also criticised. For example, they pointed out that training on financial management and marketing/lobbying should have been included much earlier.

Coaching stimulated alignment, collaborative decision making and institutionalization at organizational level. The potential synergies between the prototypes were clearly acknowledged and resulted in some collaboration. However, the initiative to create a consortium for a stronger market position towards donors, other NGOs and the Ministry did not work out due to insufficient mutual trust.
OUTCOMES

Below we describe the outcome indicators as categorized in section 4.

Organizational level: Number of prototypes formulated and integrated

The RT workshop yielded eleven annotated prototype ideas, including a policy project. One of the prototype ideas, the virtual class rooms, was dropped as little time was available for its development and acceptance was anticipated as low as critics remarked “there is neither connectivity nor computers at schools”. Another prototype, teacher training center, never got funding. Due to the political constraints, one prototype had to be stopped (# 4, Table 2) and the development of two other prototypes slowed down (#5 and #11). Eight were implemented (see Table 2).

Table 2: Overview of prototypes according to their stage of development at organizational level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Main owner</th>
<th>Type of organization</th>
<th>Announced /Formulated/ Implemented/ Integrated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lobbying for public funds</td>
<td>Various incl. Ministry</td>
<td>Public Commission + *) + **) + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Virtual class rooms</td>
<td>ex-Principal Sec. School</td>
<td>-- +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Teacher training resource center</td>
<td>District Education Officer</td>
<td>NGO (new) + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Network education inspectorate</td>
<td>Zonal Coordinator Inspectorate</td>
<td>Ministry + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>National libraries</td>
<td>Director National Libraries</td>
<td>Public Agency + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Model schools</td>
<td>Municipal Secondary School</td>
<td>Ministry + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Teacher professional development</td>
<td>Prof. of Univ. of DSM</td>
<td>NGO (new) + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Educational website</td>
<td>Principal Sec. School</td>
<td>NGO (new) + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Prototype computer training &amp; maintenance</td>
<td>Vocational Training Agency</td>
<td>NGO (existing) + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Student website</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>NGO (new) + + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Network teacher training colleges</td>
<td>Agency for Edu. Management</td>
<td>Public Agency + + + +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *) means fully, + - means more or less

**) Formulated in 2004 by the Commission on Science and Technology with intensive ICD support

Seven of the eight implemented prototypes received fundingix. Most prototypes started in 2002/2003, one in 2004 and one in 2005. The prototypes accomplished their objectives within the budget set. About four years later (June 2006), seven prototypes were still operating, albeit with objectives and functioning updated. In general, each organization had achieved its intended output in terms of the service or product to be developed. One NGO had to close late 2006 as it suffered from misuse of funds and bad debts, although it fulfilled most of its objectives. The prototype for the National Libraries could not secure external finance over a long time. When a new manager came, it was picked up again and implemented on a larger scale from internal funds. The successful integration of this prototype can therefore only be partly attributed to the RT process.

The prototypes or projects within existing organizations were well integrated. Especially the prototype of the teacher training colleges scored strongly on the indicators for being integrated. Key aspects of success included the strong participation of all principles and their deputies in the overall process. An enlarged programme is now financed by a bilateral donor. The model school
integrated ICT in teaching, planning and administration. It was largely financed out of its own meagre budget. The NGOs struggled to mobilise financial resources in the market. Their skills and drive to earn money in the market were moderate, but increased over time. The purchasing power of customers was low and education – like health services – used to be a free good. Moreover, it was hard to obtain public financing as public-private partnerships were not an established concept. The competences of staff members were for all prototypes sufficient to sustain and upscale activities, though weak on commercial insight. Some problems were more of an organizational nature as the NGOs progressed to a more mature stage. Two out of three NGOs were dynamic in the sense of developing and pursuing additional ideas to enrich their services.

Besides the specific financial problems for the NGOs and based on the outcome indicators (Table 1) by June 2006, we conclude that five out of the eleven annotated prototype ideas are fully integrated at the organizational level and two partly. The interactive policy process was successful, but is discarded from this list as it is not a prototype. This implies that the RT process scores slightly above the norm advanced by Heeks (2002), on the number of successful projects.

**Impact on users**

Estimated user data as of inception till mid 2005 are presented in Table 3. This was based on estimates per prototype, which included management and a subject matter specialist. The findings were validated during a meeting with all teams. Estimates were made carefully and provided an indication of the order of magnitude. Most decision makers in the Ministry and most users in teacher training colleges were reached. With a total number of students of secondary schools estimated at about 300,000 in 2003 (O-saki & Njabili, 2003), also the outreach to this group was substantial.
Table 3: Estimated number of users per prototype 
(from the start of prototypes up to mid 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviated title:</th>
<th>Category of users:</th>
<th>Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICT sector strategy</td>
<td>Decision makers Min of Education</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff ministry, Agencies, NGOs</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National libraries</td>
<td>Staff libraries</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model schools</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Prof. Dev.</td>
<td>Teachers in sec schools</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other staff</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Website</td>
<td>National requests dealt with</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International requests dealt with</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimated consultations of website:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students *)</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Principals</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education administrators</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suppliers of edu. products</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. Training &amp; Maint. at schools</td>
<td>Students</td>
<td>13200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking Teacher</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Colleges</td>
<td>Teacher training colleges**)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Principals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tutors and students</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
*) Estimated users who regularly consult the site at least 4 times a year
  The number of hits on the website per month has grown over 500 000
**) About 90% of teacher training colleges in 2005

Diles data is added as it is the only other prototype in the field of ICT for education.

A survey (n=191) in 2005, among end-users of a number of prototypes indicated a frequent usage of the services. Satisfaction was high and 90% of the end users stated to have achieved their goals. Details are provided in Figure 2. The bias towards the capital city was a consequence of differences of awareness, the willingness to pay for the services and the fact that the prototypes were developed in the capital city. However, the end users of the educational website in the rural areas had not been reached in the survey, which meant an overestimation of the 'capital city' bias.
Figure 2: Background data on end users

The criterion for a positive impact is defined conservatively, if the average for the aggregated constructs equals 5.5 or higher (on a scale of 7, see annex 2). On impact, 64% of the end users agreed, or strongly agreed to have an increased awareness on ICT through the prototypes. 45% of the end users stated to be empowered through the prototype. It should be noted that the score on increased knowledge was higher than empowerment as a whole. The moderate economic impact of 44% could be expected in view of the time lag between being a student and having a job. Also the cost involved in using ICT was a considerable burden. Teachers scored a bit higher on economic impact as compared to students. The effect of ICT by reducing transaction costs (e.g. exam results on line) was not captured by the questionnaire on impact, and the usage of this kind of services was very high (over a million hits a month when the exam results were there).

Since 2002 no major new initiatives on ICT for secondary and tertiary education had been started, besides the e-School programme, an initiative of the Swedish Agency for International Development Cooperation (SIDA) in 2005 and the ICT for teacher training colleges financed by SIDA which incorporated the corresponding prototype. Both drew largely on the prototype owners. Also the development of local content was still limited to the IICD supported NGOs. No other initiatives were undertaken, however the education website was copied by public agencies. Therefore we might conclude that the impact can be largely ascribed to the RT process, though other influences, like the mass media, played a role in creating awareness. However the latter did not seem to result in concrete actions.

Impact on poverty

Only one representative of the poor participated in the RT workshop; the headmistress of a secondary school. This school was located in a poor urban area and attracted kids from parents that mostly classify as poor (e.g. petty traders). ICT was appreciated by the students and increased their skill level and access to information. Already for more than three years, they sustained the prototype through some contributions of parents and students, but mostly by allocating a part of their tight operational budget to it.

Other prototypes targeted a wide audience and could have had a direct or indirect impact on poverty alleviation, especially by providing access to educational materials, knowledge and information, e.g. exam results, and by lowering transaction costs for this type of information. 86% of the respondents of the impact questionnaire indicated to have an average household income. This experience showed that, although there was a strong representation from up country, ICT became urban biased and geared to the higher and middle-income groups, at least in its initial stages.
Integration of the innovation at sector level

The networking of the prototype owners was a driving force of ICT in the sector through knowledge exchange and awareness creation (demonstrations, media attention, conferences), both in the rural areas, but especially in the capital, Dar es Salaam. Awareness raising and networking with the Association of Headmasters strongly contributed to the establishment of fully operational and cost-effective computer labs for most secondary schools in Dar es Salaam by 2006.

The impact on the sector and the importance of the contribution of the RT process and especially the prototype owners to the e-school programme was fully acknowledged by the bi-lateral donor concerned, who perceived it as essential to their mission. The prototype owners brought in knowledge on why it is useful to integrate ICT in secondary education and teacher training, and how to do it. They embodied a vision of a more student-centred teaching and learning process. With more recognition of the importance of ICT in education and this large bi-laterally financed programme to introduce ICT in secondary schools on the brink to be launched, there were new business opportunities for ICT in education. However, political and institutional intricacies delayed this process considerably.

The prototype owners developed good relationships with most seniors of the Ministry of Education, but failed to convince the top. Their prototypes stimulated similar initiatives in government institutions, for example the development of an official website of the Ministry and publication of the exam results on the web were clear reactions to the prototypes. However as the government officials pulled out of the network developed in the RT process, new modes had to be found to allow for exchange. Therefore an interactive policy-making trajectory was developed. It started in mid 2003 and resulted in a pre-white paper that was appreciated by the Ministry. August 2007, an ICT policy in education was officially accepted. By mid 2008, funds started to become available from the national budget to introduce computers at public secondary schools. It should be noted that few ministries in Tanzania have an ICT policy in 2008.

The network of prototype owners paid ample attention to involving the media. These communicative actions, together with tangible results, awareness raising and advocacy, have speeded up the introduction of ICT in education in Tanzania.

DISCUSSION

The ICT project on education in Tanzania showed that the CTA infrastructure as operationalized in the RT process functioned well, but initially less for policy making. The outcomes showed a generation of prototypes of ICT applications that develop novel ICT-based practices, that are replicated, that have a positive impact on end-users and a large outreach. Yet the direct impact on poverty was limited. About 60% of the prototypes was integrated successfully. If we consider all annotated prototype ideas, this dropped to about 50% by end 2007, mainly due to the institutional barriers, which prevented financing of public services of NGOs from public funds.

To put these findings into perspective, the outcomes are firstly compared to other RT processes that were conducted in Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Ecuador, Mali, Uganda and Zambia. In these cases successful RT workshops at sector level were held prior to 2004. Two intervention sessions with members of IICD country teams and IICD’s M&E section were conducted and specifically focussed on sharing lessons learnt from the cases.

Applying the RT approach was a learning process within IICD. This learning was reflected, among others, in the increased number of effective RT processes. Out of the annotated ideas
generated by properly executed RT workshops at the sector level, about 70% was formulated, which was slightly higher than the project on education in Tanzania. Achieving formulation was largely a result of the ‘drive’ of the owner and the quality of the facilitation. The number of prototypes implemented as a percentage of those formulated, increased to 90%, which was largely a result of a better RT process, including coaching skills of programme managers. Of the formulated prototypes, about 89% was implemented. Integration was slow and took generally four to five years after the start of the implementation. In this time span, 13% of the prototypes failed to integrate and 31% of the prototypes needed more time to integrate. 45% of the prototypes succeeded to integrate and were considered to have achieved their objectives without an excessive budget overrun. Compared to the norm proposed by Heeks (2002), the level of failure is lower. The level of success of the prototypes generated through the RT process is substantially higher. Heeks found for the industrialised economies up to 2001 about 30-45% being successful. The RT process resulted in 45% of the prototypes successfully integrated. Some prototypes need more time for integration. If the proportion that integrates successfully remains similar, the success rate may rise well above 55%, which would then be a convincing difference over the external norm constituted by the findings of Heeks (2002), especially in view of the environmental challenges in a low resource setting.

The impact on end users of other RT processes on education was also positive. The direct impact on poverty was weak in the evaluated project. Experience from other RT processes indicated that impact on poverty alleviation was increased by including more representatives of the poor in the RT process and more intensive coaching and capacity building afterwards. Literature also shows that ICT interventions can have a positive effect on poverty alleviation (Cecchini & Scott 2003; Spence 2003; Swaminathan, 2003, p.214). Strengthening the capabilities of the representatives of the poor, prior to the start of the RT process, could possibly enhance the impact. Other measures could be to make negative consequences of certain structural features explicit by the RT participants during the RT process and to include them in the agenda for the interactive ICT policy sessions for the sector or for the national poverty alleviation strategies. Another line of action is increased access to knowledge for empowerment and lower transaction costs.

As mentioned before, the ICT project on education in Tanzania is somehow a special case, as generally neither new organisations are established as a result of the RT workshop, nor is political resistance so ad hoc and strong. It is not related to the country. Another sectoral RT workshop was on ‘ICT for affordable and well-managed district health services’ (Moens et al., 2008b) and held in Tanzania in February 2005. Ten annotated ideas on prototypes were generated, of which seven were implemented by the end of 2006. No political opposition is encountered and replication or up-scaling of prototypes has started.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We conclude that the RT process has contributed substantially to innovating with ICT in the education sector in Tanzania. Findings of other cases point to better results as the complexities of the establishment of new NGOs are avoided. The results in terms of successfully integrated ICT applications are above the norm advanced by Heeks (2002). The RT process can be labelled as successful on the criteria of integration at organizational level, impact for users, and increased speed of overall innovation processes with ICT at sector level.

CTA proves to be a fruitful approach to technology development, which triggers ICT-based innovations in the local context. It allows at the user side the domestication of the (radical) innovation. It enables through participatory processes, integration of contextual and apparently ‘irrational’ behavioural considerations into the design of the application of the technology. Sense
making and ownership are key factors for individuals and organizations to develop and exert sufficient drive for the implementation of the ICT-based innovations. This aligns with earlier observations (Moens et al. 2008a). In conclusion this CTA based methodology is an interesting approach to ICT design in developing countries.

Lessons learnt and areas to improve are the following: The impact on end-users is positive, but their input in the process of the co-construction of the technology could be stronger. Also, the direct impact on poverty alleviation was limited. Looking at other RT processes, we argue that this lack of impact is due to the less optimal implementation of the RT process in the education sector in Tanzania. ICT is a window of opportunity for poverty alleviation. It creates opportunities for most actors and such a ‘win-win’ situation enables to negotiate a redistribution of control over resources. Using opportunities for poverty alleviation requires the right applications, which only can be moulded with a major input of the poor themselves, concerted action, the right timing (Chambers 1983, p.159) and political will.

While the RT process is rather effective at organizational level, it is less so at sector level. Collaborative decision making and policy development are areas not yet fully understood. An observation is that decision making at this level is less expedient than appears at face value, but rather is a part of a political and institutional seamless web with limited ‘room of manoeuvre’ and major uncertainties for the actors involved. A paradox emerges as novelty is easier generated by outsiders (Raven, 2005), but less accepted by the established actors.

Therefore a pertinent research question is: What influences the scalability of ICT applications that are novel to the local context? Institutional change is essential for radical innovations to assure sustainability and scalability (Braa et al., 2004). The CTA approach to influence institutional change at sector level proved valid, but the nature of institutional change related to ICT needs further exploration.
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Annex 1: Ideal type of process steps in the RT process

Development Cycle 1: RT multi-stakeholder workshop:
(a) Preparation:
1. Reconnaissance theme
2. Initiation of a steering group
3. Demarcation theme
4. Selection of participating organizations and participants
5. Development reference report
6. Preparatory workshop/seminar for participants.
(b) RT workshop
7. Analysis current situation
8. Scenario development
9. Visioning
10. Analysis of sectoral leverage areas for change with ICT
11. Idea generation
12. Setting priorities
13. Prototype identification
14. Diffusion of results of RT workshop

Development Cycle 2 Prototype development and implementation:
15. Engage organization to commit to (prototype development) process
16. Coaching of prototype owners
17. Workshop on project formulation (only in two cases)
   (Note: The prototype is elaborated as a project).
18. Selective support by experts
19. Workshop on basic ICT skills
20. Workshop on ICT aspects of prototype development
21. Regular meetings on progress, technical issues
22. Peer review of project document
23. Lobbying for co-financing
24. Contract negotiations
25. Team progress meetings and coaching
26. Awareness raising, training and some experimenting within project
   and direct environment.
27. Networking activities aimed at info exchange and peer learning
28. Lessons learnt sessions (focus group meeting)

Development Cycle 3 Integration:
30. Networking to support policy participation
31. Sector policy development
32. Project review and expansion/replication
33. Reformulation of project or formulation for up-scaling/replication
34. Formal integration into the strategy of an organization and its structure
35. Network events for knowledge sharing
Annex 2: Survey data; Constructs, questions and scores in percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct and individual questions</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Awareness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I see opportunities in information and communication technology I had not seen before'</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'This project broadened my horizon'</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I now see the opportunities of information and communication technology in education'</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Empowerment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'This project has offered me an insight on how to improve on my standard of living'</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I have gained useful computer skills'</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I have gained other skills'</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I have gained more self-confidence'</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I am more involved in decision making in my school \ community'</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Since I am participating in this project, other people look up to me'</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I now help other people'</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Opportunities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'This project motivates me to work harder'</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I now have a better job (will be able to get a better job)'</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I now earn more money'</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I am now more productive'</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I am now thinking of getting a job abroad'</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Check on personal skills and productivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project I have gained more and better knowledge than I would have without this project'</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project, I now use computer for other lessons \ personal use'</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Through this project my course material has been improved'</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
End users score on the statements on a Likert scale with a range of 1 to 7.
Disagree ≤ 3; Neutral = 4; Agree ≥ 5.
Constructs are counted as positive only, if the average of the individual statements ≥ 5.5.
Pearson’s chi-square tests only significant (0.04) by comparison between teachers.
and students.
The quality of the constructs has been assessed through factor analysis.
The Technology Assessment – Methods and Impacts (TAMI) represents an effort by 13 European institutes to explore the major issues of TA in Europe and to draw conclusions on a common TA “reference system” using the “twin group principle”. They considered their effort successful. In their study they added communicative actions as part of TA processes.

ICTopSchools is a NGO, supported by the Dutch Government to provide advice, professional support and to monitor progress on the use and beneficial effects of ICT in education. Tuinman and Brummelhuis developed the principles of the approach, which were refined over time and subject of several PhD studies.

The term prototype is used to indicate a first functional version of a new ICT application in that local context. This evolutionary development approach is more commonly used than methods of ‘rapid prototyping’, in which a prototype is developed and after testing, replaced by a larger scale and fully functional version.

Most prototypes were financed by IICD and bilateral donors.

This analysis is published separately (Moens et al., 2008b).

Heeks (1999, p.15) defines information systems as “systems of human and technical components that accept, store, process, output and transmit information”.

The international criterion of the poverty line is a dollar a day. Tanzania is one of the poorest countries with a GDP of US $ 221 per capita (2003). The criterion used is the Tanzanian national basic needs poverty line. Around 37% lives below this line. Although the percentage decreases very slowly, the absolute number still increases. Though not exhaustive, it is sufficient an indicator within the scope of this paper.

The network was slowly reduced to prototype owners, two interested NGOs, some members of university, the ICT industry and a financial-legal advisor.

Funding was through IICD (own funds, or through brokerage with the UK Department of International Development (DFID) and the Swiss Development Cooperation (SDC))

The concern for access to negative content was definitely a reason for a number of parents not to allow their children access to Internet, or only if filtering was done.

This corresponds to annotated prototype idea #5, #6 and # 11 as successful and # 2 and # 4 as failure (table 3).

The total sample is as follows: Bolivia: 26%, Burkina Faso: 5%, Ghana: 12%, Tanzania: 35%, Uganda: 23%. The impact on end users was higher in other RT processes on education. Possible explanations are the socialist background of Tanzania with a culture of ‘being provided’ and being less competitive, the lower economic level of development and less importance attached to education yet.
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